


Provided for non-commercial research and education use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.




This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

<http://www.elsevier.com/copyright>



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Toxicology in Vitro

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/toxinvit

4-(2-Hydroxypropan-2-yl)-1-methylcyclohexane-1,2-diol prevents xenobiotic induced cytotoxicity

Anup Srivastava^{a,*}, L. Jagan Mohan Rao^b, T. Shivanandappa^{a,1}^a Department of Food Protectants and Infestation Control, Spices and Flavor Technology, Central Food Technological Research Institute, Mysore 570020, Karnataka, India^b Department of Plantation Products, Spices and Flavor Technology, Central Food Technological Research Institute, Mysore 570020, Karnataka, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 9 December 2011

Accepted 5 April 2012

Available online 17 April 2012

Keywords:

4-(2-Hydroxypropan-2-yl)-1-

methylcyclohexane-1,2-diol

Decalepis hamiltonii

Antioxidant

ROS

Lipid peroxidation

Glutathione

ABSTRACT

Currently there is a great deal of interest in the study of natural compounds with free radical scavenging activity because of their potential role in maintaining human health and preventing diseases. In this paper, we report the antioxidant and cytoprotective properties of 4-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)-1-methylcyclohexane-1,2-diol (HPMCD) isolated from the aqueous extract of *Decalepis hamiltonii* roots. Our results show that HPMCD is a potent scavenger of superoxide ($O_2^{\cdot-}$), hydroxyl ($\cdot OH$), nitric oxide ($\cdot NO$), and lipid peroxide ($LOO\cdot$) physiologically relevant free radicals with IC_{50} values in nmolar (56–582) range. HPMCD also exhibited concentration dependent secondary antioxidant activities like reducing power, metal chelating activity, and inhibition of protein carbonylation. Further, HPMCD at nmolar concentration prevented $CuSO_4$ -induced human LDL oxidation. Apart from the *in vitro* free radical scavenging activity HPMCD demonstrated cytoprotective activity in primary hepatocytes and ehrlich ascites tumor (EAT) cells against oxidative stress inducing xenobiotics. The mechanism of cytoprotective action involved maintaining the intracellular glutathione (GSH), scavenging of reactive oxygen species (ROS), and inhibition of lipid peroxidation (LPO). Based on the results it is suggested that HPMCD is a novel bioactive molecule with health implications in both prevention and amelioration of diseases involving oxidative stress as well as in the general well being.

© 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Phytochemicals are non-nutritive plant-derived chemicals with biological effects. There are over a thousand phytochemicals found in foods and one serving of a fruit or vegetable may have as many as 100 different phytochemicals (Carratu and Sanzini, 2005). It is well known that plants produce these chemicals to protect themselves against oxidative insult but recent research has demonstrated that many phytochemicals could protect humans against diseases (Walker, 2006). Antioxidant phytochemicals promote human health by exhibiting diversified physiological and pharmacological effects, viz., inactivate cancer-causing substances by scavenging free radicals, stimulate the immune system, protect the heart from free radical attack, and help prevent cataracts in the eye lens (Vincent et al., 2010; Liu, 2004). These antioxidant phytochemicals influence multiple signaling pathways, including survival pathways such as those regulated by NF- κ B, Akt, and growth factors; cytoprotective pathways dependent on Nrf2; and metastatic and angiogenic pathways. Some of the common types of phytochemi-

cals include flavonoids, indoles, isoflavones, alkaloids, nonprotein aminoacids, isothiocyanate, phytosterols, carotenoids, chlorophyll derivatives, etc. (Espin et al., 2007). Currently, there is a great deal of interest in the study of natural compounds with free radical scavenging capacity and their role in human health.

Tuberous roots of *Decalepis hamiltonii* (Wight and Arn.) (Family: Asclepiadaceae) are consumed in southern India as pickles and juice for its alleged health promoting properties. The roots are also used in folk medicine and ayurvedic preparations as general vitalizer and blood purifier (Nayar et al., 1978). We have earlier reported that the roots of *D. hamiltonii* possess potent antioxidant properties and have shown their hepatoprotective and neuroprotective potential (Srivastava and Shivanandappa, 2010a,b; 2006a,b; Srivastava et al., 2006). We have isolated/characterized the antioxidant constituents of *D. hamiltonii* roots which could be associated with their alleged health benefits (Srivastava et al., 2007, 2006; Harish et al., 2005). In this paper, we report the antioxidant properties of 4-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)-1-methylcyclohexane-1,2-diol (HPMCD) (Fig. 1) isolated from the aqueous extract of *D. hamiltonii* roots using a battery of free radical scavenging assays and other additional antioxidant activity assays. Further, we demonstrate the cytoprotective activity of HPMCD in primary hepatocytes and ehrlich ascites tumor (EAT) cells against oxidative stress inducing xenobiotics.

* Corresponding author. Address: 217 Willow Street, New Haven, CT 06511, USA.
E-mail address: anup@uab.edu (A. Srivastava).

¹ Current Address: Dept. of Zoology, University of Mysore, Manasagangotri, Mysore 570006, Karnataka, India.




Fig. 1. Structure of 4-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)-1-methylcyclohexane-1,2-diol.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals

Thiobarbituric acid (TBA), glutathione (GSH), bovine serum albumin (BSA), tetraethoxypropane (TEP), HEPES buffer, 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), phenzine methosulfate (PMS), Cumene hydroperoxide (CHP), Sodium nitroprusside (SNP), ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA), Griess reagent, trypan blue were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). Trichloroacetic acid (TCA), 5,5'-dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB), Nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT), Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide reduced (NADH), deoxyribose, ascorbic acid, dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO) and other chemicals were purchased from Sisco Research Laboratories, Mumbai, India. All the chemicals used were of highest purity grade available.

2.2. Isolation of 4-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)-1-methylcyclohexane-1,2-diol

The roots of *Decalepis hamiltonii* were collected from B.R. Hills, Karnataka, India and identity confirmed by a botany Professor at Mysore University. A voucher specimen was maintained in Botany department of Mysore University, Mysore, Karnataka, India.

The roots were washed with water, followed by crushing with a roller to separate the inner woody core from the outer fleshy layer. The fleshy portion was collected, dried at 40 °C in a hot air oven and finely powdered. This root powder was used for extraction. We have earlier reported that an aqueous extract of *D. hamiltonii* roots shows high antioxidant activity. The aqueous extract was prepared by homogenizing the root powder (200 g) in 1 l of warm water (50 °C) and allowed to stand for 24 h, and filtering through Whatman No. 1 paper; the filtrate was lyophilized and weighed (34.75 g). The lyophilized aqueous extract (34.75 g) was re-extracted with methanol in a total volume of 500 ml and concentrated under reduced pressure; it was subjected to conventional purification techniques. The compound isolated was pure by RP-HPLC and TLC. The active compound was characterized as 4-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)-1-methylcyclohexane-1,2-diol (HPMCD) by classical (UV, IR, LC-MS, and NMR) spectroscopic techniques which we have reported earlier (Srivastava et al., 2006).

2.3. Antioxidant activity

2.3.1. Superoxide radical-scavenging assay

Superoxide radical-scavenging activity was measured by the PMS-NADH-NBT method. The reaction mixture, PMS (0.1 mM), NADH (1 mM) and NBT (1 mM) in phosphate buffer (0.1 M pH 7.4), was incubated at room temperature for 5 min with/without HPMCD and the color developed, due to NBT reduction, was read at 560 nm against a blank. The radical-scavenging activity was measured as the decrease in the absorbance and was calculated using the following equation:

$$\text{Scavenging effect (\%)} = [1 - A_{\text{Sample}(560 \text{ nm})} / A_{\text{Control}(560 \text{ nm})}] \times 100$$

2.3.2. Hydroxyl radical-scavenging assay

Reaction mixtures containing different concentrations of HPMCD were incubated with deoxyribose (10 mM), H₂O₂ (10 mM), FeCl₃ (5 mM), EDTA (1 mM) and ascorbic acid (5 mM) in potassium phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 7.4) for 60 min at 37 °C. The reaction was terminated by adding TCA (5% w/v) and the reaction product was measured by the reaction with TBA (0.2% w/v) in a boiling water bath for 15 min. The absorbance was measured at 535 nm against the reagent blank and inhibition of the oxidation of deoxyribose was calculated against the control.

2.3.3. Nitric oxide radical-scavenging assay

Sodium nitroprusside in aqueous solution at physiological pH spontaneously generates nitric oxide, which is measured by the Griess reaction. Sodium nitroprusside (5 mM) was mixed with different concentrations of HPMCD in phosphate-buffered saline and incubated at 25 °C for 150 min followed by the addition of Griess reagent (1% sulphanilamide, 2% H₃PO₄ and 0.1% naphthylethylenediamine dihydrochloride). The absorbance of the chromophore formed during the reaction was read at 546 nm and referred to the absorbance of standard solutions of potassium nitrite, treated in the same way with Griess reagent. The radical-scavenging activity was measured, using the equation described above.

2.3.4. Inhibition of microsomal lipid peroxidation

Liver excised from adult male Wistar rats was homogenized (20% w/v) in 0.02 M Tris buffer (pH 7.4). Microsomes were isolated by the calcium aggregation method. Microsomes (0.5 mg protein) were mixed with FeSO₄ (1 mM) and ascorbic acid (1 mM) with or without HPMCD in a total volume of 1 ml of 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) and incubated at 37 °C for 60 min. This was followed by adding 1 ml each of TCA (10%) and TBA (0.67%), boiling in a water bath for 15 min and centrifuging. The absorbance of the supernatant was read at 535 nm and TBARS (thiobarbituric acid reactive substances) value of the supernatant was calculated using tetraethoxy propane as the standard. The TBARS value was taken as a measure of lipid peroxide generation.

2.3.5. Reducing power

The reducing power of HPMCD was quantified by the method described earlier with modifications. Briefly, 1 ml of reaction mixture, containing HPMCD in phosphate buffer (0.2 M, pH 6.6), was incubated with potassium ferricyanide (1% w/v) at 50 °C for 20 min. The reaction was terminated by adding TCA solution (10% w/v) and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min. The supernatant was mixed with distilled water and ferric chloride (0.1% w/v) solution and the resulting color was read at 700 nm. The concentration required to get an OD_{700 nm} of 0.5 was used as measure of reducing power.

2.3.6. Protein carbonyls

Rat liver homogenate (10% w/v) was prepared in 20 mM tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.4), centrifuged at 10,000g for 10 min at 4 °C. 1 ml of the supernatant was incubated with CCl₄ (1 mM) along with HPMCD for 1 h and was precipitated with an equal volume of 20% TCA and centrifuged. The pellet was resuspended in 1 ml of DNPH (10 mM in 2 M HCl) and allowed to stand at room temperature for 60 min with occasional vortexing. 0.5 ml of 20% TCA was added to the reaction mixture and centrifuged, the pellet obtained was washed 3 times with acetone and 1 ml of 2% of SDS (in 20 mM tris-HCl, 0.1 M NaCl, pH 7.4) was added to solubilise the pellet. The absorbance of the sample was read at 360 nm and the carbonyl content was calculated using a molar extinction coefficient of 22,000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹.

2.3.7. Metal ion chelation assay

The Fe²⁺-chelating ability of HPMCD was assayed by measuring the formation of ferrous iron–ferrozine complex. The reaction mixture containing FeCl₂ (2 mM) and ferrozine (5 mM) and the isolated compound was adjusted to a total volume of 0.8 ml with methanol, shaken well, incubated for 10 min at room temperature and the absorbance of the resultant color was read at 562 nm against a blank. EDTA was used as the positive control. The metal-chelating ability of the purified compound was calculated using the equation as described earlier.

2.3.8. Inhibition of human low density lipoprotein oxidation

Human LDL (100 µg protein/ml) was oxidized with 10 µM CuSO₄ in the presence or absence of HPMCD in 10 mM PBS, followed by the addition of 1 mM EDTA to stop the reaction. After incubation, aliquots of the reaction mixture were used for measuring TBARS formation and relative electrophoretic mobility (REM). TBARS was measured by adding to 0.5 ml of the above aliquots, 1 ml each of 2.5% TCA and 1% TBA, vortexing and boiling for 30 min. After cooling to room temperature, the samples were centrifuged and the fluorescence of the product formed was measured in a spectrofluorometer at 515 nm excitation and 553 nm emission wavelengths. The electrophoretic mobility of the native or oxidized LDL was studied by agarose gel electrophoresis. Aliquots of the LDL reaction mixture were electrophoresed in 0.7% agarose gel at 85 V in the running buffer (40 mM Tris, 40 mM glacial acetic acid and 1 mM EDTA) for 2 h. The lipoprotein bands were stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue and REM, defined as the ratio of the distances

migrated from the origin by oxidized LDL versus native LDL, was calculated.

2.4. Cell Studies

2.4.1. Hepatocyte isolation

Hepatocytes were isolated from male Wistar rats (200–240 g) by collagenase perfusion of the liver. The pre-perfusion and perfusion solutions were buffered at pH 7.4 with 0.01 M HEPES. The total length of the perfusion was approximately 15–20 min. Aliquots of freshly isolated hepatocytes were immediately counted with a haemocytometer in 0.4% trypan blue solution containing 0.9% NaCl. The viability of the cells isolated by this method was always more than 90%.

2.4.2. Ehrlich ascites tumor (EAT) cells

EAT cells were cultured in the peritoneum of male Swiss albino mice. After harvesting, cells were suspended in Hanks Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS) with 0.1% dextrose and 0.4% bovine serum albumin. Cells were used for the experiments within 6 h after harvesting. EAT cells were chosen because they offer a good model to study ROS induction and consequent oxidative stress by xenobiotics.

2.4.3. Cell viability

Cells (10 × 10⁶) suspended in 1 ml of HBSS were treated with the toxicant [for hepatocytes CCl₄ 0.4 mM (dissolved in DMSO) or ethanol 1 mM; and for EAT cells CCl₄ 0.4 mM or CHP 4 mM or




Fig. 2. Free radical scavenging activity of HPMCD (i) lipid peroxide (LOO[•]) radical, (ii) superoxide radical (O₂^{•-}) radical, (iii) Hydroxyl (•OH) radical, (iv) nitric oxide (•NO) radical.

HCH 1.6 mM (dissolved in DMSO)] at LC₅₀ concentration with/without HPMCD and incubated for 60 min in a shaking water bath at 37 °C. At the end of incubation, an aliquot of cells was taken for viability assay by trypan blue exclusion method.

2.4.4. Lactate dehydrogenase leakage

After incubation of cells (hepatocytes/EAT cells) in the presence of toxicant with/without HPMCD cells were centrifuged and the supernatant was assayed for LDH with sodium lactate as the substrate. The reaction mixture consisted of NADH (0.02 M), sodium pyruvate (0.01 M), sodium phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 7.4) in a total volume of 3 ml. The changes in the absorbance were recorded at 340 nm at 30 s interval for 3 min.

2.4.5. Lipid peroxidation

After incubation, as above, the cells (hepatocytes/EAT cells) were centrifuged and the cell pellet was washed in saline. The cell pellet was boiled in TCA (5.5%) and TBA (0.34%) for 15 min, cooled and centrifuged. Fluorescence of the supernatant was measured in a fluorescence spectrophotometer at excitation and emission wavelengths of 532 nm and 553 nm, respectively. Lipid peroxidation was quantified by the amount of malondialdehyde (MDA) formed which was calculated using a standard curve prepared with tetraethoxypropane.

2.4.6. Reactive oxygen species (superoxide anion)

The cells (hepatocytes/EAT cells) (10×10^6) suspended in 1.0 ml HBSS were incubated with NBT (0.2 mM), toxicant (as mentioned

earlier), and with/without HPMCD in a shaking water bath at 37 °C. The generation of ROS by cells (respiratory burst) was measured by the formation of colored formazan due to reduction of NBT.

2.4.7. Glutathione

Hepatocytes/EAT cells (10×10^6) suspended in 1 ml HBSS were treated with toxicant as mentioned above with/without HPMCD and incubated for 60 min in a shaking water bath at 37 °C. At the end of incubation, cells were homogenized in 1 ml of 5% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid, centrifuged at 2000 g for 5 min and glutathione (GSH) in the deproteinized supernatant was estimated by Ellman's reagent with a standard curve and represented as nmole/mg protein.

Protein estimation was done by the method of Lowry et al. using BSA as the standard.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Data were expressed as mean \pm S.E. of three separate independent experiments and the significance was determined by *t*-test for LDL oxidation assay and by the analysis of variance (Newman Keul's post hoc test) ($p < 0.05$) using the computer programme Excel and Statistica software. All the data points were converted to % inhibition and the values between 10% and 90% inhibition were used to calculate the IC₅₀ value.





Fig. 3. Additional antioxidant activity of HPMCD (i) inhibition of protein carbonylation, (ii) metal chelating activity.





Fig. 4. Inhibition of human LDL oxidation by HPMCD (i) relative electrophoretic mobility (REM), (ii) thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) content. Statistics was done by one way ANOVA, # represents comparison between control and CuSO₄ groups and * represents comparison between HPMCD + CuSO₄ treatment groups. ## or ** represents $p < 0.05$.

3. Results

3.1. Free radical scavenging activity

Free radicals like lipid peroxide (LOO[•]), superoxide (O₂^{•-}), hydroxyl (•OH), and nitric oxide (•NO) are physiologically relevant and when excess cause oxidative stress which can lead to pathological conditions. We tested the efficacy of HPMCD in scavenging these free radicals. HPMCD showed concentration dependent scavenging of free radicals (Fig. 2). The free radical scavenging activity of HPMCD was as potent as compared with known antioxidants, quercetin and butylated hydroxyl anisole (BHA) (data not shown). The calculated IC₅₀ values were in nmole range indicating its high potency. We also found that HPMCD is a potent scavenger of DPPH radical, a synthetic free radical (data not shown).

3.2. Additional antioxidant activity

One of the major consequences of oxidative stress is irreversible protein modification such as generation of protein carbonyls which lead to their fragmentation, increased aggregation, and enzyme dysfunction. HPMCD in a dose dependent fashion prevented CCl₄ induced protein carbonyl formation in rat liver tissue (Fig. 3). The metal ion chelating capacity plays a significant role in the antioxidant mechanism because it prevents oxyradical generation and the consequent oxidative damage. HPMCD showed significant ferrous ion-chelating activity, as a measure of its antioxidant capacity (Fig. 4). Ferric reducing antioxidant power assay is conventionally

used to measure the reducing ability of antioxidants (Benzie and Szeto, 1999). 41.39 ± 2.97 nmol/ml of HPMCD was sufficient to get an OD_{700 nm} of 0.5.

3.3. Inhibition of LDL oxidation

Oxidation of human LDL is considered to be an essential step in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis. LDL oxidation is characterized by alterations in structure and biological properties of lipids and apolipoprotein B (apo B). HPMCD showed dose dependent protection against copper-induced human LDL oxidation as measured by relative electrophoretic mobility (REM) and TBARS formation (Fig. 4).

3.4. Cell viability

In vitro studies using cell cultures offer a good model system to understand the mechanism of xenobiotic-induced cell injury/death and its amelioration by phytochemicals. Ehrlich Ascites tumor (EAT) cells offer a good model to study oxidative stress mediated cytotoxicity involving LPO and ROS induction by xenobiotics. Primary hepatocytes are also a useful *in vitro* cell model for pharmacological and toxicological studies of xenobiotics. Xenobiotics such as hexachlorocyclohexane (HCH), carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄), ethanol, and cumene hydroperoxide (CHP) are well known inducers of oxidative stress in cell systems. To assess the cytoprotective effect of HPMCD (hepatocytes and EAT cells) were exposed to different xenobiotics at LC₅₀ concentration (hepatocytes – CCl₄ and ethanol) (EAT cells – HCH, CHP, and CCl₄). HPMCD dose dependently ame-




Fig. 5. Cytoprotective effect of HPMCD (i) Trypan Blue exclusion method, (ii) LDH leakage. (A) Rat primary hepatocytes: Groups-I: Control, II: Toxicant [CCl₄ (0.4 mM) or Ethanol (1 mM)], III: Toxicant + HPMCD (0.053 mM), IV: Toxicant + HPMCD (0.212 mM), V: HPMCD (0.212 mM) (B) EAT cells: Groups-I: Control, II: Toxicant [HCH (1.6 mM) or CHP (4 mM) or CCl₄ (3 mM)], III: Toxicant + HPMCD (0.26 mM), IV: Toxicant + HPMCD (0.53 mM), V: HPMCD (0.53 mM). Different letters indicate statistical significance at p < 0.05.




Fig. 6. Amelioration of oxidative stress by HPMCD (i) inhibition of lipid peroxidation (LPO), (ii) reactive oxygen species (ROS) scavenging, (iii) restoration of glutathione (GSH) levels. (A) Rat primary hepatocytes: Groups-I: Control, II: Toxicant [CCl₄ (0.4 mM) or Ethanol (1 mM)], III: Toxicant + HPMCD (0.053 mM), IV: Toxicant + HPMCD (0.212 mM), V: HPMCD (0.212 mM) (B) EAT cells: Groups-I: Control, II: Toxicant [HCH (1.6 mM) or CHP (4 mM) or CCl₄ (3 mM)], III: Toxicant + HPMCD (0.26 mM), IV: Toxicant + HPMCD (0.53 mM), V: HPMCD (0.53 mM). Different letters indicate statistical significance at *p* < 0.05.

liorated toxicant-induced cytotoxicity as measured by trypan blue method and lactate dehydrogenase leakage. HPMCD, by itself, was not toxic to the cells at the highest concentration used (Fig. 5).

3.5. Markers of oxidative stress

HPMCD dose dependently ameliorated xenobiotic-induced oxidative stress in both hepatocytes as well as EAT cells. Oxidative stress was measured by lipid peroxidation, reactive oxygen species (ROS) production i.e. “respiratory burst”, and depletion of glutathione level (Fig. 6). The toxicants induced oxidative stress to different degree, in hepatocytes CCl₄ induced more oxidative stress compared to ethanol at LC₅₀ concentration. In EAT cells HCH induced highest production of ROS and CHP caused most LPO at LC₅₀ concentration. HPMCD decreased ROS either by inhibiting their production or scavenged them leading to decreased LPO and maintained the GSH level. HPMCD, *per se*, did not have any adverse effect on oxidative stress parameters of hepatocytes and EAT cells.

4. Discussion

Free radicals like O₂⁻, ·OH, ·NO, and LOO· when produced in excess react with lipids, proteins, and DNA to cause oxidative stress.

Unabated oxidative stress leads to cardiovascular diseases, degenerative diseases, cancer, and aging. The beneficial health effects of antioxidants have been attributed to their ability to scavenge free radicals (Halliwell, 1999). There is overwhelming evidence that phytochemicals could be used as effective antioxidants for improving human health by preventing or delaying degenerative diseases (de Kok et al., 2010). Our results show that HPMCD, isolated from *D. hamiltonii*, is a potent scavenger of O₂⁻, ·OH, ·NO, and LOO· physiologically relevant free radicals. Iron is known to generate free radicals through the Fenton and Haber–Weiss reaction (Halliwell and Gutteridge, 1990). Chelating agents which form *r*-bonds with a metal are effective as secondary antioxidants as they reduce the redox potential, thereby stabilizing the oxidized form of the metal ion. It is believed that antioxidant activity and reducing power are related (Moon and Shibamoto, 2009). Reductones donate a hydrogen atom and inhibit LPO by donating a hydrogen atom and thereby terminating the free radical chain reaction (Huang et al., 2005). Oxidative stress leads to irreversible protein modification, such as generation of carbonyls or loss of thiol residues (Berlett et al., 2001). These oxidative modifications alter the biological properties of proteins, leading to their fragmentation, increased aggregation and enzyme dysfunction. By virtue its free radical scavenging, metal-chelating, high reducing, and protein

carbonylation inhibiting properties HPMCD can act as a powerful antioxidant *in vivo* as well.

Oxidation of low density lipoprotein (LDL) is considered to be an essential step in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis (Chisolm and Steinberg, 2000; Weber and Erl, 2000). Transition-metal induced oxidation of LDL is one of the classical models of oxidation employed in research (Corti et al., 1997; Lamb et al., 1995). HPMCD showed dose dependent protection against copper-induced human LDL oxidation. The protection of LDL oxidation by HPMCD could be due to: (a) scavenging of various radical species in the aqueous phase, (b) interaction with peroxy radicals at the LDL surface, (c) partitioning into the LDL particle and terminating chain-reactions of lipid peroxidation by scavenging lipid radicals, (d) regenerating endogenous α -tocopherol back to its active antioxidant form, and (e) metal ion chelation.

In vitro studies on cell cultures are often used as a model system to study the mechanism of xenobiotic-induced cell injury/death and its amelioration by phytochemicals (Srivastava and Shivanandappa, 2006a,b; Robertson and Orrenius, 2000). Several phytochemicals have been evaluated for their protective activity against xenobiotic induced toxicity in experimental models *in vitro* and *in vivo* conditions (Soory, 2009; Fraga, 2007). A number of prooxidant drugs and other chemicals (including Cumene hydroperoxide, hexachlorocyclohexane, carbon tetrachloride, and ethanol) have been implicated in the oxidative stress and cell injury resulting from the intracellular production of injurious ROS (Srivastava and Shivanandappa, 2006a,b; Djordjevic, 2004). HPMCD inhibited xenobiotic-induced LPO in both EAT cells and hepatocytes. Further, the results show that HPMCD scavenged the ROS produced, which could be responsible for the amelioration of cytotoxic cell death. Furthermore, xenobiotic-induced GSH depletion in EAT cells and hepatocytes was restored by HPMCD. Our results show that HPMCD protects the cells from xenobiotic-induced cell injury/death by relieving oxidative stress and, at least in part, by restoring the cellular redox status. Our results support the view that induction of ROS in cells by xenobiotics is correlated with oxidative stress-mediated cell death and antioxidants can be successfully used to ameliorate such oxidative stress induced cytotoxicity.

In conclusion, our results show that HPMCD has potent free radical quenching activity, exhibits secondary antioxidant properties, and inhibits human LDL oxidation. We have further demonstrated the ability of HPMCD to prevent xenobiotic-induced cellular damage in hepatocytes and EAT cells. The mechanism of cytoprotective action appears to involve maintaining the intracellular GSH, scavenging of ROS, and inhibition of LPO. Based on the results it is suggested that HPMCD is a novel bioactive molecule with health implications in both prevention and amelioration of degenerative diseases as well as in general well being.

Conflict of interest

The authors have declared that there is no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

This work was done at Central Food Technological Research Institute, Mysore, India. The authors wish to thank the Director of the institute for his keen interest in this study. The first author acknowledges Council for Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi for awarding the research fellowship.

References

- Benzie, I.F., Szeto, Y.T., 1999. Total antioxidant capacity of teas by the ferric reducing/antioxidant power assay. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 47, 633–636.
- Berlett, B.S., Levine, R.L., Chock, P.B., Chevon, M., Stadtman, E.R., 2001. Antioxidant activity of Ferrozine–iron–amino acid complexes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 98, 451–456.
- Carratu, B., Sanzini, E., 2005. Biologically-active phytochemicals in vegetable food. *Ann. Ist. Super. Sanita.* 41, 7–16.
- Chisolm, G.M., Steinberg, D., 2000. The oxidative modification hypothesis of atherogenesis: an overview. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 28, 1815–1826.
- Corti, M.C., Barbato, G.M., Baggio, G., 1997. Lipoprotein alterations and atherosclerosis in the elderly. *Curr. Opin. Lipidol.* 8, 236–241.
- de Kok, T.M., de Waard, P., Wilms, L.C., van Breda, S.G., 2010. Antioxidative and antigenotoxic properties of vegetables and dietary phytochemicals: the value of genomics biomarkers in molecular epidemiology. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 54, 208–217.
- Djordjevic, V.B., 2004. Free radicals in cell biology. *Int. Rev. Cytol.* 237, 57–89.
- Espin, J.C., Garcia-Conesa, M.T., Tomas-Barberan, F.A., 2007. Nutraceuticals: facts and fiction. *Phytochemistry* 68, 2986–3008.
- Fraga, C.G., 2007. Plant polyphenols: how to translate their *in vitro* antioxidant actions to *in vivo* conditions. *IUBMB Life* 59, 308–315.
- Lamb, D.J., Mitchinson, M.J., Leake, D.S., 1995. Transition metal ions within human atherosclerotic lesions can catalyse the oxidation of low density lipoprotein by macrophages. *FEBS Lett.* 374, 12–16.
- Liu, R.H., 2004. Potential synergy of phytochemicals in cancer prevention: mechanism of action. *J. Nutr.* 134, 3479S–3485S.
- Halliwell, B., 1999. Oxygen and nitrogen are pro-carcinogens. Damage to DNA by reactive oxygen, chlorine and nitrogen species: measurement, mechanism and the effects of nutrition. *Mutat. Res.* 443, 37–52.
- Halliwell, B., Gutteridge, J.M., 1990. Role of free radicals and catalytic metal ions in human disease: an overview. *Methods Enzymol.* 186, 1–85.
- Harish, R., Divakar, S., Srivastava, A., Shivanandappa, T., 2005. Isolation of antioxidant compounds from the methanolic extract of the roots of *Decalepis hamiltonii* (Wight and Arn.). *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 53, 7709–7714.
- Huang, D., Ou, B., Prior, R.L., 2005. The chemistry behind antioxidant capacity assays. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 53, 1841–1856.
- Moon, J.K., Shibamoto, T., 2009. Antioxidant assays for plant and food components. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 57, 1655–1666.
- Nayar, R.C., Shetty, J.K.P., Mary, Z., Yoganarshimhan, S.N., 1978. Pharmacognostical studies on the root of *Decalepis hamiltonii* Wt. and Arn. and comparison with *Hemidesmus indicus* (L.). *R. Br. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.* 87, 37–48.
- Robertson, J.D., Orrenius, S., 2000. Molecular mechanisms of apoptosis induced by cytotoxic chemicals. *Crit. Rev. Toxicol.* 30, 609–627.
- Soory, M., 2009. Relevance of nutritional antioxidants in metabolic syndrome, ageing and cancer: potential for therapeutic targeting. *Infect. Disord. Drug Targets* 9, 400–414.
- Srivastava, A., Harish, R., Shivanandappa, T., 2006. Novel antioxidant compounds from the aqueous extract of the roots of *Decalepis hamiltonii* (Wight and Arn.) and their inhibitory effect on low-density lipoprotein oxidation. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 54, 790–795.
- Srivastava, A., Rao, L.J.M., Shivanandappa, T., 2007. Isolation of ellagic acid from the aqueous extract of the roots of *Decalepis hamiltonii*: antioxidant activity and cytoprotective effect. *Food Chem.* 103, 224–233.
- Srivastava, A., Shereen Harish, R., Shivanandappa, T., 2006. Antioxidant activity of the roots of *Decalepis hamiltonii* (Wight & Arn.). *LWT-Food Sci. Tech.* 39, 1059–1065.
- Srivastava, A., Shivanandappa, T., 2006a. Causal relationship between hexachlorocyclohexane cytotoxicity, oxidative stress and Na⁺, K⁺-ATPase in Ehrlich Ascites tumor cells. *Mol. Cell. Biochem.* 286, 87–93.
- Srivastava, A., Shivanandappa, T., 2006b. Hepatoprotective effect of the aqueous extract of the roots of *Decalepis hamiltonii* against ethanol-induced oxidative stress in rats. *Hepato. Res.* 35, 267–275.
- Srivastava, A., Shivanandappa, T., 2010a. Hepatoprotective effect of the root extract of *Decalepis hamiltonii* against carbon tetrachloride-induced oxidative stress in rats. *Food Chem.* 118, 411–417.
- Srivastava, A., Shivanandappa, T., 2010b. Neuroprotective effect of *Decalepis hamiltonii* roots against ethanol-induced oxidative stress. *Food Chem.* 119, 626–629.
- Vincent, H.K., Bourguignon, C.M., Taylor, A.G., 2010. Relationship of the dietary phytochemical index to weight gain, oxidative stress and inflammation in overweight young adults. *J. Hum. Nutr. Diet.* 23, 20–29.
- Walker, A.F., 2006. Herbal medicine: the science of the art. *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* 65, 145–152.
- Weber, C., Erl, W., 2000. Modulation of vascular cell activation, function, and apoptosis: role of antioxidants and nuclear factor- κ B. *Curr. Top. Cell. Regul.* 36, 217–235.